

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

CHAD M. MANDELL (ILBN 6286783)
Special Assistant United States Attorney

150 Almaden Boulevard
San Jose, California 95113
Telephone: (408) 535-5059
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
Email: chad.mandell@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ELVIS FABRICIO SANDOVAL-
CORONA,

Defendant.

No. CR 08-00183 JF

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM JULY
9, 2008 TO AUGUST 13, 2008 FROM THE
SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION
(18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))

The parties stipulate that the time between July 9, 2008 and August 13, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the

//

//

//

public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18
U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

DATED: July 14, 2008

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

/s/
CHAD M. MANDELL
Special Assistant United States Attorney

/s/
CARLEEN R. ARLIDGE
Lead Defense Attorney

ORDER

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between July 9, 2008 and August 13, 2008 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

JEREMY FOGEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE